

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	CV 16-4502 MLH (MRWx)	Date	November 28, 2017
Title	Twin Rivers v. Fieldpiece		

Present: The Honorable	Michael R. Wilner
Veronica Piper	n/a
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder
Attorneys Present for Plaintiff / Petitioner:	Attorneys Present for Defendant / Respondent:
None present	None present

Proceedings: ORDER DISCHARGING OSC

1. The Court reviewed Mr. Farkas’s response to the OSC. (Docket # 240.) Mr. Farkas candidly admitted that he did not fully review Mr. Kula’s letter regarding the pending discovery dispute. (*Id.* at 2.) The reasons: the request for a meeting was buried at the end of a “dense” letter, and Mr. Farkas was tired after an intercontinental trip. And Mr. Farkas suggests that Mr. Kula and the rest of his team were a bit sly in not raising the discovery issue in later face-to-face meetings and other pretrial communications.

2. Good enough for me. The OSC is DISCHARGED with no further consequences. Plaintiff’s substantive response to the motion will be filed and considered in due course.

3. The parties are still encouraged to attempt to work out their dispute without judicial intervention. If the matter proceeds to hearing, the Court will be required to consider the assessment of costs for the winning or losing party pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(5).